

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,078	03/24/2004	Mark Tsonton	END-5293	7086
27777 7	7590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			ROY, ANURADHA	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			. 3736	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			y
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,078	TSONTON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
<u> </u>	Anuradha Roy	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply loly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed I days will be considered timely. Ifrom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/24	1/04.		
,,	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable as a second or a se		he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been recau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/04. 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 17, 18, & 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 17 & 18 state dependence on Claim 19. Additionally, Claim 20 states dependence on Claim 20. Examiner believes this was an inadvertent error and Applicant intended for Claims 17 & 18 to be dependent on Claim 16, and Claim 20 to be dependent on Claim 19; therefore, the claims have been examined in this manner. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 & 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumar et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0028094).

Regarding claim 1, Kumar et al. discloses a biopsy device suitable for use with a magnetic resonance imaging machine, said device comprising an elongated needle (1810) for receiving tissue therethrough, the needle comprising: a distal needle segment (1811) comprising a tissue receiving port (1812), the distal needle segment formed of a first material [0045] that does not interfere with MRI imaging of a portion of the distal needle segment associated with the tissue receiving port; a proximal needle segment

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078

Art Unit: 3736

(1890 & 1820) disposed proximally of the tissue receiving port, the proximal needle segment formed at least in part of a second material different from said first material [0188].

With regard to claims 6-8, Kumar et al. discloses a proximal needle segment, wherein the second material comprises a metal and is non-magnetic [0186] and is selected from the group comprising aluminum, aluminum alloys, stainless steel, titanium, titanium alloys, and combinations thereof [0186]. Examiner contends wire is a form of metal according to the definition found in the Webster dictionary online.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 & 9-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al. in view of Huitema et al. (US Patent No. 6,626,849).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 16 –18, & 19, Kumar discloses a biopsy device suitable for use with a magnetic resonance imaging machine and comprising: a distal needle segment (1811) comprising a tissue receiving port (1812) communicating with a cutter lumen, the distal needle segment formed of a first material [0045]; a proximal needle segment (1890 & 1820) formed at least in part of a metal [0188], the proximal needle segment providing at least a portion of the cutter lumen (Figure 18 & 19), and wherein

Art Unit: 3736

said metal is spaced proximally at least about 0.5 inch from a proximal edge of said tissue receiving port [0079]. Kumar, however, does not teach of the first material being a non-metallic material. However, Huitema et al. teaches of a non-metallic and nonmagnetic material (Column 4, lines 25-31) for the distal region of the needle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Huitema et al. to utilize a non-metallic and non-magnetic material on the needle with Kumar et al. in order to prevent an obscured image of the lesion by the needle.

"The image of the lesion will show the metal probe, and this is problematic because the image of the probe can obscure the image of the lesion. Therefore, there has been a desire to have a generally non-metallic biopsy probe of the type described above." (Column 2, lines 59-63)

Regarding claim 4, Huitema et al. further discloses a distal needle segment, wherein the first material comprises a liquid crystal polymer (Column 4, lines 25-31).

With regard to claims 9-11, Huitema et al. discloses a device further comprising a distal piercing tip (Figure 1 & 60) disposed distal of the tissue receiving port, wherein the distal piercing tip comprises a non-metallic material and is selected from the group comprising ceramics and glasses (Column 7, lines 30-34).

In regards to claim 12, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the proximal needle segment (33) and the distal needle segment provide a continuous, smooth cutter lumen (32).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078

Art Unit: 3736

In regards to claim 13, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the proximal needle segment and the distal needle segment provide a continuous vacuum lumen (34).

In regards to claim 14, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the needle comprises at least passage (36) extending from the vacuum lumen to an outer surface of the needle.

In regards to claim 15, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the distal needle segment comprises a plurality of passages (Figure 4 & 23) extending from the vacuum lumen to the outer surface of the needle.

With regard to claim 20, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the distal needle segment comprises at least a portion of a vacuum lumen (Figure 4 & 34).

Additional Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al. in view of Sapatova et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0203140).

Kumar et al. discloses the aforementioned element of a biopsy device. Kumar et al., however, does not directly disclose a melt flow index of at least about 15 grams/minute. However, Sapatova et al. teaches of a melt flow index of at least about 15 grams/minute ([0015] & [0047]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Sapatova et al. to have a melt flow index of at least 15 grams/minute with Kumar et al. in order to allow for the "implementation of multi-cavitation tools, and a commensurate increase in productivity" [0047].

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078 Page 6

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rhad et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0109801) discloses a MRI compatible surgical device and Karasawa (US Patent No. 5,738,632) discloses material in used for MRI apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anuradha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-6169 and whose email address is anuradha.roy@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:00am and 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

~AR~

COX F. HINDENSURG COORY PATENT EXAMINER COCOTY GENTER 3700